IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE J.B.KOSHY
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

THURSDAY, THE 15TH MARCH 2007 / 24TH PHALGUNA 1928

WP(C).No. 8806 of 2007(W)

PETITIONER:
----

1. VELAYUDHAN, S/O MADHAVAN, AGED 45 YEARS,
03/05, MANJAKKAD HOUSE, P.O.KALADY,
VATTAMKULAM(VIA), MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

2. ALI KOYA N.V., S/O KUNJUTTY,
AGED 50 YEARS, ARAKKAL HOUSE, IYYAM,
EDAPPAL.

BY ADV. SMT.C.G.PREETHA

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KUTTIPURAM POLICE STATION,
KUTTIPPURAM.

2. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PONNANI POLICE STATION,
PONNANI.

3. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VENNERI POLICE STATION, VANNERI,
PERUMBADAPPU.

4. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CHANGARAMKULAM POLICE STATION,
CHANGARAMKULAM.

5. SECRETARY, C.I.T.U.,
EDAPPAL UNIT, P.O.EDAPPAL.

6. SECRETARY, C.I.T.U.,
PONNANI TALUK UNION, PONNANI.

7. SECRETARY, C.I.T.U.,
PONNANI TALUK UNION, PERUMBADAPPU UNIT,
P.O.VANNERI.

8. SECRETARY, C.I.T.U.,
EDAPPAL UNIT, P.O.EDAPPAL.

9. SECRETARY, 1.N.T.U.C.,
KUTTIPPURAM UNIT, P.O.KUTTIPPURAM.

10. SECRETARY, INTUC.,
PONNANI TALUK UNION, PONNANI.

11. SECRETARY, INTUC,
PERUMBADAPPU UNIT., P.O.VENNERI.

12. SECRETARY, INTUC,
EDAPPAL UNIT, P.O.EDAPPAL.

13. SECRETARY, B.M.S.,
KUTTIPPURAM UNIT, KUTTIPPURAM P.O.

14. SECRETARY, B.M.S.,
PONNANI TALUK UNION, PONNANI.

15. SECRETARY, B.M.S.,
PONNANI TALUK UNION, PERUMBADAPPU UNIT,
P.O.VANNERI.

16. SECRETARY, B.M.S.,
EDAPPAL UNIT, P.O.EDAPPAL.

17. SECREYTARY, S.T.U.,
KUTTIPPURAM UNIT, P.O.KUTTIPPURAM.

18. SECRETARY, S.T.U.,
PONNANI TALUK UNION, PONNANI.

19. SECRETARY, S.T.U.,
PONNANI TALUK UNION, PERUMBADAPPU UNIT,
VENNERI P.O.

20. SECRETARY, S.T.U.,
EDAPPAL UNIT, P.O.EDAPPAL.

BY GOVT.PLEADER SRI.P.A.SALIM

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 15/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

J.B.KOSHY & T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JJ.

W.P.(C)No.8806 OF 2007

Dated 15th March, 2007

JUDGMENT

Koshy,J.

It is the contention of the petitioners that respondents 5 to 20 are creating obstructions in their business demanding `Nokkukooli'. It is now well settled law that if loading and unloading work is done through mechanical process and no workers are required, unions or workers cannot demand `Nokkukooli' and if any obstruction is caused merely because Nokkukooli is not given, police should give adequate protection. Therefore, if any obstruction is caused to the petitioners in various places, petitioners shall approach the police and police shall give adequate protection.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

J.B.KOSHY
JUDGE

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR
JUDGE

tks